

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

In the drawings: please substitute the attached 8 replacement sheets (Figures 1 – 16) of corrected drawings for current drawings of the present application as required by the Office Action mailed September 22, 2005.

REMARKS

This application was filed with 28 claims. Claims 1 – 28 have been allowed. Prosecution on the merits has been closed in accordance with practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). The Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that the Application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters. The amendments submitted in this response do not touch the merits and are submitted to address only the formal matters raised by the Examiner or identified by the Applicant.

Amendments to Specification

Applicant has identified various typographical errors and similar informalities in the Specification. The proposed amendments to the Specification paragraphs do not touch the merits and are submitted to address only the informalities identified by the Applicant.

Amendments to Drawings

In response to the Office Action and the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review dated September 22, 2005, the applicant submits herewith 8 replacement sheets of drawings containing formal drawing Figs. 1 -16. The enclosed 8 replacement sheets correct the informalities of the drawings filed 01/20/2004, in that, the margins were not acceptable and the lines, numbers and letters were not uniformly thick and well defined.


In addition, Figure 2 has been amended to correct clerical error of the transposition of element numbers 27 and 29 and the transposition of element numbers 26 and 28 in the originally filed drawings. Paragraph 8 of the Specification states that a second work station forward boundary 27 and a second work station rear boundary 29 are in a similar configuration as [the first] forward work station boundary 26 and [the first] rear work station boundary 28 as described in Paragraph 5 and shown in Figures 1.

Applicant believes that this submission places the application in condition for issuance. However, if the Official Draftsman has any other concerns about the drawings, it would be appreciated if he would telephone the undersigned.

Petition to Extend Time to Respond

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), Applicant petitions the Commissioner to extend the time for responding to the September 22, 2005, Office Action for 2 months from November 22, 2005 to January 22, 2006. Applicant encloses herewith a check in the amount of \$225 made payable to the Director of the USPTO for the petition fee. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment associated with the filing of this Response to Deposit Account 23-0035.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Howard H. Bayless", written over a horizontal line.

Howard H. Bayless
Registration No. 51,245
WADDEY & PATTERSON
A Professional Corporation

Customer No. 23456
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

Howard H. Bayless
Wadley & Patterson, P.C.
Roundabout Plaza
1600 Division Street, Suite 500
Nashville, TN 37203
(615) 242-2400



Attorney Docket No. N9929-ICW
Customer No. 23456


CERTIFICATE OF FIRST CLASS MAILING

I hereby certify that this Response and Amendment in Application Serial No. 10/761,084 having a filing date of January 20, 2004, including amended drawing Figures 1 – 16, and Extension of Time fee, check in the amount of \$225.00 is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:


Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on January 19, 2006.

Howard H. Bayless



Signature
Registration Number 51,245



Date